



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/824,716	04/04/2001	Thomas Schutz	Q63690	1759
7590 12/19/2005			EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC			NGUYEN, THUAN T	
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037-3213			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<b>3</b> ,			2685	

DATE MAILED: 12/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/824,716	SCHUTZ ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	THUAN T. NGUYEN	2685				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realiure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on      This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This      Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/824,716 Page 2

Art Unit: 2685

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Appeal Brief Arguments

- 1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn. This decision is based on the outcome of an appeal conference from the examiner with SPE Edward Urban and SPE Nay Maung on Wednesday, November 30, 2005.
- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Proakis et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,844,951).

Regarding claims 1 and 6, Proakis discloses a receiver (Fig. 2) and its "method of combining at least two received signals of a telecommunication system; wherein a first combining algorithm is processed for providing a resulting signal, and a second, differing combining algorithm for providing a second resulting signal, and the two resulting signals are combined, wherein the combination is depending on the two resulting signals", i.e., Proakis discloses an exact same method in a receiver for jointly performing

Application/Control Number: 09/824,716

Art Unit: 2685

diversity combining, see col. 2/lines 3-23, and the diversity combining uses more than one algorithm for combining, which different algorithms of course, based on the receiving signals and the resulting signals from both algorithms, refer to col. 3/lines 15 to col. 4/line 6, and particularly, in col. 15/lines 51-63 for two independent algorithms can be chosen for the combiner, and further on in col. 16/lines 10-28 as for other types of RLS algorithms can be applied within the scope of the Proakis' invention.

As for claim 2, Proakis further discloses wherein a quality of the two resulting signals is estimated, i.e., sensors are used for sensing the condition or quality of received signals and the receiver further includes means for the estimation of resulting signals (see col. 3/lines 23-47).

As for claim 3, Proakis further discloses "wherein the estimated quality of the two resulting signals is used to weight the combination of the two resulting signals" (refer to col. 3/line 48 to col. 4/line 6 for the jointly optimizer combiner-equalizer uses predetermined algorithm(s), as noted in claim 1 earlier for different algorithms not one, to weight and/or calculate the estimated quality of the two resulting signals).

As for claim 4, Proakis further discloses "wherein one of the two algorithm is a temporal reference algorithm and the other one of the two algorithm is a spatial reference algorithm" (Fig. 2 and col. 12/line 56 to col. 13/line 14 for spatial signal processing algorithm and temporal signal processing algorithm is jointly combined at the combining means 38).

Art Unit: 2685

As for claim 5, Proakis further discloses "wherein more than two algorithms is used", i.e., a plurality of alternative algorithms can be used (col. 16/lines 10-28 as for other types of RLS algorithms can be applied).

As for claims 7-9, Proakis further teaches these features comprising steps of receiving the plurality of signals, determining the condition of the signals, and selecting from a plurality of differing algorithms, one or more algorithm to process the plurality of signals, based on the condition of the signals, and combining the signals (refer again to claims 1 and 2 as Proakis discloses an exact same method in a receiver for jointly performing diversity combining, see col. 2/lines 3-23, and the diversity combining uses more than one algorithm for combining, which different algorithms of course, based on the receiving signals and the resulting signals from both algorithms, refer to col. 3/lines 15 to col. 4/line 6, and particularly, in col. 15/lines 51-63 for two independent algorithms can be chosen for the combiner, and further on in col. 16/lines 10-28 as for other types of RLS algorithms can be applied within the scope of the Proakis' invention; and sensors are used for sensing the condition or quality of received signals and the receiver further includes means for the estimation of resulting signals (see col. 3/lines 23-47).

#### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Zhang et al. (US Patent 6,795,506 B1) disclose a system related to perform combining algorithms.

Art Unit: 2685

## 6. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

#### or faxed to the New Central Fax number:

(571) 273-8300, (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand deliveries must be made to Customer Service Window, Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tony Thuan Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-5860. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM to 7:00 PM, with alternate Fridays off.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office** whose telephone number is **(703) 306-0377**.

TONYT, MOUYEN PATENT EXAMINER

guyle su

Tony T. Nguyen Art Unit 2685 December 07, 2005